

$^{48}\text{Ca}(\text{d},\text{p}),(\text{pol d},\text{p})$ 

Type	Author	History
Full Evaluation	T. W. Burrows <sup>a</sup>	Citation
		NDS 109, 1879 (2008)

1975Me15: E=9, 13, 16, 19.3 , 20 MeV. Proton energy spread≈15 keV.

1978Ab05: E=11.9 MeV. Also measured vector-analyzing power (VAP); Si telescopes. FWHM=65 keV for protons. Beam polarization≈45%.

1994Uo02: E=56 MeV. Measured  $\sigma(\theta)$  and  $A(\theta)$  ( $\theta=5^\circ$  to  $45^\circ$ ); magnetic spectrograph and focal-plane detector system. FWHM≈40 keV; beam polarization≈80%. DWBA.

1995HiZZ: E=25 MeV. 97.8% enriched target. Measured  $\sigma(\theta)$  ( $\theta=11^\circ$  to  $80^\circ$ ); QDD spectrograph with single-wire pc joined to thin plastic scin. FWHM=30-55 keV.

Other: 2005MaZM.

 $^{49}\text{Ca}$  Levels

J(D),L(E) assumed by 1978Ab05 for DWBA calculations.

J(H),S(I) 1995HiZZ report J=1/2<sup>-</sup>, (3/2<sup>+</sup>) and C<sup>2</sup>S=0.13, (0.023).

E(level) <sup>†</sup>	J <sup>‡</sup>	L <sup>‡</sup>	C <sup>2</sup> S <sup>#</sup>	E(level) <sup>†</sup>	J <sup>‡</sup>	L <sup>‡</sup>	C <sup>2</sup> S <sup>#</sup>
0.0@	3/2 <sup>-</sup>	1	0.84 I2	5612			
2021@	1/2 <sup>-</sup>	1	0.91 I5	5693			
3357	(9/2 <sup>+</sup> )&	(4)	(0.0037)	5722			
3586	5/2 <sup>-</sup>	3	0.11	6066	5/2 <sup>+</sup>	2	0.069
3888	[9/2 <sup>+</sup> ,3/2 <sup>-</sup> ]	[4,1]	<i>a</i>	6262			
3993@	5/2 <sup>-</sup>	3	0.84	6373			
4018	9/2 <sup>+b</sup>	4	0.14	6443			
4069	3/2 <sup>-</sup>	1	0.13 I2	6529	9/2 <sup>+</sup>	4	0.14
4261	1/2 <sup>-</sup>	1	0.12 I	6595			
4416	5/2 <sup>+</sup>	2	0.039	6672			
4617 <sup>c</sup> 6				6753	9/2 <sup>+</sup>	4	0.085
4767	(5/2 <sup>+</sup> )	(2)	(0.021)	6882			
4788 <sup>c</sup> 6	[9/2 <sup>+</sup> ]	[4]	<i>d</i>	6971			
4887	9/2 <sup>+</sup>	4	0.020	7335			
5314				7428			
5378	9/2 <sup>+</sup>	4	0.083	7529	(9/2 <sup>+</sup> )	(4)	(0.034)
5456				7612			
5552				7705	(9/2 <sup>+</sup> )	(4)	(0.027)
5568				7872			

<sup>†</sup> From 1994Uo02 (energy resolution≈30 keV In the bound region), except As noted.

<sup>‡</sup> From comparison of  $\sigma(\theta)$  and  $A(\theta)$  by 1994Uo02 to DWBA calculations, except As noted.

<sup>#</sup> From 1994Uo02, except As noted.  $\sigma(\text{exp})=1.55[\text{C}^2\text{S}/(2J+1)][(2J_f+1)/(2J_i+1)]\sigma_{\text{dw}}$ . uncertainties estimated from an uncertainty In the renormalization procedures.

@ Principal single-particle transition.

& Although  $A(\theta)$  resembles  $L,J^\pi=2,5/2^+$  particularly At large angles, the overall behavior of  $\sigma(\theta)$  and  $A(\theta)$  suggest  $L,J^\pi=4,9/2^+$  (1994Uo02).

<sup>a</sup> S=0.011,0.0081 from 1978Ab05. S(g.s.)=0.85. Mean of results for different potentials, assuming  $\sigma(\text{exp})=1.53\text{S}\sigma(\text{DWUCK})$ .

<sup>b</sup> Discrepant with adopted  $J^\pi=7/2^+$ .

<sup>c</sup> From 1975Me15 (not observed by 1994Uo02) with respect to state At 3586 which 1975Me15 give As 3594 5. Note that energies from 1975Me15 appear to Be 6 to 19 keV higher than those derived by 1971Ca22 In (d,pγ).

<sup>d</sup> S=(0.053) from 1975Me15 (E=19.3 or 20 MeV; S(g.s.)=1.03).